Creativity: learning style or teaching strategy?

La creatividad: ¿estilo de aprendizaje o estrategia didáctica?

ESPERICUETA-MEDINA Marta Nieves†, SANCHEZ-RIVERA Lilia, MUÑOZ-LÓPEZ, Temístocles, MIRELES-GARCÍA, Carlos Daniel and RETA-REYES, Luis Roberto

Facultad de Ciencia, Educación y Humanidades de la Universidad Autónoma de Coahuila Unidad Campo Redondo Unidad Saltillo

ID 1st Author: / ORC ID: 0000-0001-7435-415X, Researcher ID Thomsom ID: T-1500-2018, arXiv ID Author: Espericueta2018, CVU CONACYT ID: 372705

ID 1st Coauthor: / ORC ID: 0000-0001-9468-2599, Researcher ID: 1404-2018, CVU CONACYT ID: 613195

ID 2nd Coauthor: / **ORC ID:** 0000-0003-4940-5730, **Researcher ID:** X-7834-2018, **CVU CONACYT ID:** 202437

ID 3rd Coauthor: / **ORC ID:** 0000-0003-4331-9073

ID 4th Coauthor: Luis Roberto, Reta-Reyes / ORC ID: 0000-0002-5054-9902, CVU CONACYT ID: 1016212

DOI: 10.35429/JSETM.2019.5.3.17.23 Received August 24, 2019; Accepted December 18, 2019

Abstract

The present research on Creativity: Learning Style or Didactic Strategy? It aims to identify the impact of Learning Styles and Didactic Strategies on Creativity. Teachers should resort to practices that make them obtain good results, projecting a quality training, this leads to being an active person, with social activities that make the student have a good and increasingly better participation in the process of teaching-learning, where it is capable of transmitting a discipline to overcome difficulties. (Márquez, 2005). Some items of the learning styles of the ILP-R questionnaire (SCHMECK) were used, from which the most relevant ones were selected since they could enrich the present study. The instrument that was applied presented a cronbach alpha of .85, the results were analyzed with the STATISTIC statistical package. The type of research is quantitative, the instrument was carried out in four sections, where the general data is found, and 3 research axes: Learning Styles, Didactic Strategy and Creativity. As main results it was found that Learning Styles and Didactic Strategies are considered to have an impact for the student to generate Creativity.

$Creativity, Learning\ Style, \ Didactic\ Strategy$

Resumen

La presente investigación sobre la Creatividad: ¿Estilo de Aprendizaje o Estrategia Didáctica? tiene como objetivo identificar el impacto de los Estilos de Aprendizaje y Estrategias Didácticas en la Creatividad. Los docentes deben de recurrir a prácticas que le hagan obtener buenos resultados, proyectando una formación que sea de calidad, esto conlleva a que sea una persona activa, con actividades sociales que hagan que el alumno tenga una buena y cada vez mejor participación en el proceso de enseñanzaaprendizaje, donde sea capaz de transmitir una disciplina de superación ante las dificultades. (Márquez, 2005). Fueron utilizados algunos ítems de los estilos de aprendizaje del cuestionario de ILP-R (SCHMECK), de donde se seleccionaron los más pertinentes dado que pudieran enriquecían el presente estudio. El instrumento que se aplicó presento un alfa cronbach de .85, los resultados se analizaron con el paquete estadístico STATISTIC. El tipo de investigación es cuantitativa, el instrumento se realizó en cuatro apartados, donde se encuentran los datos generales, y 3 ejes de investigación: Estilos de Aprendizaje, Estrategia Didáctica y Creatividad. Como resultados principales se encontró se considera que los Estilos de Aprendizaje y las Estrategias Didácticas impactan para que el estudiante genere la Creatividad.

Creatividad, Estilo de Aprendizaje, Estrategia Didáctica

Citation: ESPERICUETA-MEDINA Marta Nieves, SANCHEZ-RIVERA Lilia, MUÑOZ-LÓPEZ, Temístocles, MIRELES-GARCÍA, Carlos Daniel and RETA-REYES, Luis Roberto. Creativity: learning style or teaching strategy?. Journal Schools of economic Thought and Methology. 2019. 3-5: 17-23.

[†] Researcher contributing as first author.

Introduction

The development of the skills and potentialities that students develop as they are contextualized in their school development, intervenes and has a great impact on the processes in the classroom. It is important to emphasize that all students have a different way of learning, since each one works differently, learning with different Learning Styles, which leads to the potentialization of skills that will be necessary for: their daily learning, the resolution of school problems, and decide differently each of the situations in which the student is.

These potentials and abilities that students develop will be linked to the didactic strategies developed by teachers, so it is important that teachers are contextualized with each of the different Learning Styles that young people might have. In this way the teacher has to develop various strategies where it impacts each of the styles, to help students to develop the potential to better understand the contents of the subject taught by the teacher, it is necessary that the teacher Do not keep a single learning method for the development of your classes.

The implementation of various teaching strategies within a classroom greatly intervenes so that students can discover the best way of working, interacting with different ways of working within a class, students will find different ways of learning, leading to student's decision to be able to choose the best strategy to solve various complications academically and in his daily life. From all these skills and potentials that each person obtains during the process they carry out in school, it is how they can reach the development of creativity and the natural implementation of innovation to achieve what they intend to achieve. (Torres, 2005)

Theoretical framework

Creativity is a concept not yet fully defined by what could be said to present various facets given the above and from this perspective, creativity can be understood as a laborious process that involves multiple variables (personal, motivational, emotional, social, cultural and contextual) common to all people and interesting in education (Guerra and Villa, 2019)

Creativity is an ideal form of behavior and focuses on the ability of talented people who can contribute significantly, both in society and in life itself. (Goñi, 2005). (Trigo, 2005) affirm that creativity is a human capacity that, to a greater or lesser extent, everyone has. This is what Menchén (2001) agrees, who recently states that creativity is a natural and basic characteristic of the human mind and that it is potentially found in all people.

Creativity involves anyone who has the potential, to be able to do new, innovative and better things, together with the achievement of improving their environment and reaching the limits of their creativity. (Torres, 2005)

The way in which creativity develops without infinite and unpredictable and has to do with a type of arrangement, which corresponds to the personal and individual and the relationship with their environment, you can form of expressive creativity, characteristic is spontaneity and freedom, there is also the technical creativity, where the skill dominates more than the spontaneity. (Monrreal, 2005). The way in which the individual develops different ways and tools to acquire knowledge is marked by learning styles, in this way the way of learning takes up the structures of the learning process that is applied in the educational context, in response to the stimuli and the information that is constantly received. (Sickle A. c., 2005)

Problem-based learning (ABP) influences the development of skills and the effectiveness of learning in physical education by facilitating the development of learning skills and increasing motivation, thus providing students with the opportunity to interact and help each other. each other (Luo, YJ 2019).

Another experience is the Sgambi, L., Kubiak, L., Basso, N., & Garavaglia, E. (2019) in which the introduction of an active didactic experience to improve courses that are generally passively structured resulted in that students were able to learn concepts related to the mechanics of structures. On the other hand, Learning Styles are then the tools that help us analyze, capture, process and respond to information received in the educational environment, mentions that learning styles are not common to all and is defined in various ways (Garcia, 2005).

For Franquesa-Soler, M., Barraza, L., & Serio-Silva, JC (2019) in their study on the Learning Preferences of Children for the Development of Conservation Education Programs in Mexican Communities, they found that the Studying how children learn can provide valuable information for the development of effective conservation education programs, establish a dialogue about students' strengths and weaknesses, improve their participation and empower them to take action.

Learning strategies can be procedural, that is, they involve procedures, intentional, because of their deliberate nature, require effort, are voluntary, essential, that is, necessary in the behaviors of experts in an area, and would facilitate, since they improve performance academic. (Sickle Z. c., 2015)

It is believed that people employ a particular method of interaction, acceptance and processing of stimuli and information, where the characteristics of learning style are usually part of any psycho-pedagogical report that is prepared on a student, and should be the foundation of the didactic strategies and pedagogical reinforcements so that these are the most appropriate for the student. (Hernádez, 2005). People abstract knowledge through two phases: an analytical phase of search and understanding and a synthetic phase of experimentation and invention, which operate in what the theoretical and practical world considers. This category groups those models that suggest that learning takes place. achieved through practice, experimentation and discovery through the senses. (Beckman, 2013).

Teachers should resort to practices that make them obtain good results, projecting quality training, this leads to the teacher being an active person, with social activities that make the student have a good and increasingly better participation in the teaching-learning process, where it is capable of transmitting a discipline to overcome difficulties. (Marquez, Students meet and meet their needs in a different way, if learning is approached from different perspectives, it is possible that more learning is generated, that is, it is situated in an ideal plane where students are able to choose the appropriate strategy according to the situation and the one that most relates to the problem addressed. (Schmeck, 1996).

In this regard Alava, EE, & Martinez, MEM (2019) mentions that learning is not a task that the student can acquire with total individuality so for teachers it should be important to reflect if the form of their pedagogical practice is attractive to their students or if they are simply depositors of content. Schmeck's research mentions that on the one hand, the students who generally put their attention on superficial characteristics those who have a literal memorization, seem to be unable to attend stimuli at a level of greater depth of understanding, on the other hand the students who instead of memorizing, they try to understand the material with which they work, which places them on the scale of deep processing, which makes them capable of attending to specific details when activities require it, because their association network is more elaborate and hierarchical since it allows them to have better information (Schmeck. 1996).

Methodology

Once the general question of the investigation is established, we proceed to inquire about the bibliography that supports the main proposal of this work, the research objectives, questions and hypotheses are developed. The research instrument is prepared and the pilot test is carried out that allows adjustments to the reagents, the final application of the questionnaire is executed in one session. The data obtained are organized in a data matrix and are given statistical treatment to explore the results where a cronbach's alpha of .85 is obtained. In addition, the information is processed in the statistics analysis: Descriptive from frequencies and percentages, Comparative with student T test and integrative with factor analysis; Therefore, it is a quantitative, synchronous and transversal research of exploratory and descriptive type.

The instrument consists of 4 sections (see annexes); in the first one there are general data where gender, age, semester, institution variables are observed. The second, third and fourth section correspond to the axes of each one measured with two complex variables that consist of data that will serve the researcher to know how Creativity impacts Learning Styles and Didactic Strategy measured with a decimal scale where 0 is absence of attribute and 10 its maximum presence.

Sampling is non-probabilistic of incidental or convenience type; This is a characteristic in the investigations carried out by students, where the permissions of the school authorities for the application of the measuring instrument are depended. The measuring instrument was applied to a sample of 120 active students who are in the classroom for better application control.

Results

Frequencies and Percentages

The students are from Mariano Narváez González TM high school, and Ateneo Fuente of the Autonomous University of Coahuila, within the results it can be seen that of the surveyed population of 120 students, 64 female students were applied as well, so both the rest of the students surveyed are male giving a total of 56 students, the ages of the students are between 15 and 17 years old, being 16 years old, those who represent the least students, being 11% of the population surveyed, the application consists of 50% in each bachelor's degree, and finally 62 of these students are in the second semester, while the rest are in the fourth semester.

Student's T

In order to compare samples through their arithmetic means and find significant differences depending on age, gender, institution and semester, comparative analyzes with the student's t-test for independent samples with a probable error value of less than 0.05 (p <0.05), the statistical values that appear in this analysis are the sample values of each analysis group (Mean (X) of the groups), the test value t (t-value), the degrees of freedom (df) and the probability of the level of error (p). For the first comparison, the age grouping variable with didactic strategy is taken.

From the analysis it is observed that the variables, role play and computer use stand out in the comparison where it is observed that 15-year-old students work better through the didactic role-playing strategy, on the other hand, we can see that students from this age they become more familiar with the use of computers for their school activity.

Therefore, it is inferred that high school students better dominate the technological resources assigned to their institution, thus being a great help to have a better school activity. As a second comparison, gender grouping variable with learning style and teaching strategies is taken.

From the analysis it is observed that within the male students they have a greater use of virtual platforms more appropriate the use of the realization of timelines as a didactic strategy compared to the female students, but on the other hand it is observed that the Students look for different learning variants, since they think they are looking for other alternatives instead of following the same line of work as it is observed that students do follow it as a learning style.

Therefore, it is inferred that male students perform activities more easily through the use of virtual platforms, as well as female students perform activities by going along the same line of work, while male students They look for alternatives to reach their goals.

As a third comparison, the institution grouping variable with learning styles and didactic strategies is taken. From the analysis it is observed that within the two baccalaureate students of the Athenaeum Source think that the best teaching strategies that teachers can apply is through projects, consultations, timelines, notes, essays, as well as they believe that the use of computers, conducting discussions, applying tutorials and learning through simulations, while Mariano Narváez Morning Shift students doubt that this is of greater impact for its application in the classroom, on the other hand it can be appreciated that the students of the Ateneo Fuente look for the reasons behind each fact with which they work, as well as examine the information before being processed, unlike the students of Mariano Narváez, those of the Ateneo assume that life is an adventure. While Mariano students look for learning alternatives, thus showing that the students of the Athenaeum seek to follow the same line of work, but that they do the activities step by step. So, it is inferred that the students of the Athenaeum Source use more didactic strategies and, therefore, identify the activities they perform in the classroom, as well as conduct more tutoring meetings.

As a comparative room, a semester grouping variable with didactic strategy and creativity is taken.

From the analysis it can be observed that the second semester students choose to take the didactic strategy of role play, as well as see the teachers as a guide, on the other hand, the fourth semester students carry out their activities supporting themselves better with the use of imagination.

Therefore, it is inferred that fourth semester students have imagination since they involve situations they have faced, thus being more agile when they are creative, on the other hand, second semester students require more teacher support as a guide.

Factorial analysis

This analysis was carried out with the procedure of main components that support the innovation proposal, with normalized varimax factor rotation, with a probable level of error $p \le .05$, a r = 0.17 and a confidence level of 99.95%.

21 factors are obtained, with a minimum eigenvalue of 1, this mark a total of 72.46% explanation of the study phenomenon, which is exhibited factor 2, being here where the proposal of educational innovation and factors 4.6 are prospected, 8,9 and 13 support it.

The invention in creativity, its learning style and its didactic strategy

The factor 2, called The integrated use of learning styles with teaching strategies, enhances creativity from the invention, diversity and this strengthens their imagination, on the other hand, it can be inferred that the students of these baccalaureate students assume that the didactic strategies of projects are important for the realization of classes, this can cause that in the classroom the recreational activities are implemented, these will make the coexistence of the students be strengthened, therefore, the exhibitions, activities by competences and diverse Aulic activities will be of greater impact.

On the other hand, they argue that the performance of tasks, consultations, essays, summaries benefit the reinforcement of extracurricular skills, as well as the realization of activities that show them various variants that can be implemented to be very useful often, as well as Be information that shows you the reasons why you are studying. And this is where the imagination that the students argue is presented, since, it is here that it is potentiated, as well as the ability of invention by the students.

Conclusions

The development of pedagogical strategies has an impact in the context of the development of students in the classroom, which is why it is necessary that teachers when developing a class are aware of the different processes that students develop in the classroom. technological resources and the strategies of the teachers must go afloat, since each student has a different way of learning, of gathering information and of solving different problems, although the training of the students is guided by the abilities of the teachers, who are responsible for carrying out activities with different methods, to carry out a better learning of the students. It should be noted that a student who is provided with various ways to carry out their activities and teaching strategies that enhance their Learning Styles, the student can develop one or more resources that will help him in his educational processes and in general to develop in any context in Whoever has to be. It is important that in the educational processes students not only develop and acquire new knowledge, but the ability to generate their own ideas, modernity and new technologies are a clear example of why students should be innovating, the generation of knowledge by new methods.

Proposals for change and transformation

To privilege the use of strategies in the students so that at the hand of the teacher and in conjunction with the different strategies and the potentialization of the Learning Styles the student can develop different skills that generate creativity, which in itself will develop different skills and it generates different characteristics to each of the students, developing different strategies that help reinforce their skills.

Journal-Schools of economic Thought and Methology

December, 2019 Vol.3 No.5 17-23

ANNEXES:

This instrument has the purpose of knowing aspects related to teaching strategies and creativity with learning styles.

Please answer the following:

Gender: F () M () Age:	Institution:	Semester:
------------------------	--------------	-----------

With a scale from 0 to 10, where 0 represents the minimum value and 10 the maximum value.

To what extent do you consider the following attributes to form some teaching strategies?								
0		0		0		0		0
-		-		-		-		-
_		_				_		1
0		0		0		0		0
	Cultura		Bibliogra		Rolepla		Simulat	
	1		phies		y		ions	
	activiti		_		-			
	es							
	Oral		Virtual		puzzle		Experi	
	tests		platforms		•		ments	
	Writte		Laborato		essays		Practice	
	n		ries		,		s	
	exams							
	Works		Timeline		Summar		Confere	
	hops		s		y		nces	
	Chores		Brainstor		Comput		Case	
			m		er use		method	
							s	
	Intervi		Debates		Discussi			
	ews				ons			
	Conce		Oratory		Tutorial			
	ptual		, i		s			
	maps							
	Summ		Notes		Collabor			
	ary				ative			
		4			work			
	nt do y 0 - 1 0 0	O Cultura I activiti es Oral tests Writte n exams Works hops Chores Interviews Conce ptual maps Summ	October Summary	October 1 Debates Conceptual maps Summ Activities Conceptual maps Summ ary	Ocal tests Platforms Writte n came tests Platforms Works hops Chores Brainstor m Interviews Conce ptual maps Summ ary Notes Chores Oratory pages of the process of the	O Cultura I Bibliogra phies y Oral Virtual tests platforms Writte Laborato ries exams Works Timeline sexams Works Timeline Summar y Chores Brainstor Comput er use Intervi ews Conce ptual maps Summ Notes Collabor arry Summ Notes Collabor arry O Collabor arive o Collabor arive	O Cultura I Bibliogra phies y Summar sexams Works Timeline sexams Works Chores Brainstor m Comput m Comput er use Intervi ews Conce ptual maps Summ Notes O Collubra 1	O Cultura I Bibliogra phies y Simulat ions Cultura I Phies y Simulat ions Cultura I Phies y Cultura phies y Simulat ions Cultura I Phies y Simulat ions Cultura I Phies y Simulat ions Rolepla y Simulat ions Experiments Writte Laborato platforms Writte Laborato ries y Practice s s Exams Works Timeline y Summar Confere y necs Chores Brainstor Comput er use method s Intervi ews Conce ptual maps Summ Notes Collabor ary Notes Collabor ative

With a scale from 0 to 10, where 0 represents the minimum value and 10 the maximum value.

To what extent do you consider the following characteristics to be part of creativity?

	0	u consider the	0	1	0	1	0		0
			_		_		_		_
	1		1		1		1		1
	0		0		0		0		0
Originalit		Elaborat	Ť	Sense of		Sponta		Social	
y		ion		humor		neity		capacit	
,		1011		numor		neity		v	
Invention		Producti		Ambitio		Freedo		Self	
mvention		vity		n		m		esteem	
Fluency		Imaginat		Sensitiv		Excitab		Loose	
Tuchey		ion		e		ility		ness	
Flexibility		Novelty		Diverge		Viabilit		Audaci	
riexionity		Novelty		nt		Viabilit		ty	
Communi		Curiosit		Motivat		Leader		_	
cation		Curiosit						Depth	
		y T		ion		ship		m :	
Problem		Initiative		Commit		Intuitiv		Tenaci	
resolution				ment		е		ty	
						ability			
Innovatio		Indepen		Memor		Critical		Decisi	
n		dence		У		capacit		on	
						У		makin	
								g	
								ability	
Diversity		Convent		Logic		Emerg		Experi	
		ional				ent		ence	
		thinking	l	1				ĺ	

Deep	0-	onsider the followin Deep	0-	 0-	Elaborative	0-
processing:	10	processing:	10	10	processing:	10
abstract /		critical			self-	
semantic		thinking			realization	
I try to		I often criticize			I am mainly	
resolve the		the things I			interested in	
conflicts		read.			self	
between the		read.			development,	
information					in what I will	
obtained					become	
from various					cecome	
sources.						
I consider		I take note of			I remember	
different		the data in my			new words	
points of		own words.			and ideas by	
view in my					associating	
mind when I					them with	
study a new					words and	
subject.					ideas that I	
					already know.	
When I read		I rarely look			When I study	
a problem I		for reasons			something I	
try to think		behind the			invent a	
about the		facts.			system to	
different					remember	
alternative					later.	
ways of						
finding the						
solution.						
When I read		I often find			In trying to	
an		myself			understand	
investigation		questioning			new ideas, I	
I try to find		things I hear at			often relate	
different		conferences or			them to real-	
ways of		read in books.			life situations	
interpreting					to which they	
the					can be	
conclusions.					applied.	

Scientific		When I ar	n			Deep down I	
explanations	ĺ		n			think the	
fascinate me.		article or	a			experience is	
		report,	I			as important	
		generally				as school	
		examine th	ie			education.	
		content					
1	ĺ	carefully t	0				
		decide if th	ie				
		conclusion i	is				
		justified.					
I like to		When I ar	n			Life is a great	
compare		studying,	I			adventure.	
different		occasionally					
theories.		stop to thin	k				
		about what					
		am reading.					
I dream		As I study I tr	v			My feelings	
awake about		to find answer	rs			are a very	
the things I		to th				important	
have studied.		questions that	at			part of my	
		come to mind				decision or	
						judgment	
						capacity.	
I try to relate						A person's	
the ideas of						point of view	
one subject						is as	
with those of						important as	
the other						scientific	
subjects,						theories.	
whenever I	ĺ	1					
can.]					
1	l	1			T	I am very	
1	ĺ	1				interested in	
<u>L</u>	l	L				family values	
		onsider the follo				of your learning st	
Elaborative	0-	"Agentic"	0-	Analytical	0-	Literal	0-
processing:	1	processing	1	"agentic"	1	repetition	1
concrete /	0	: serial /	0	processing	0		0
episodic		sequential		-		<u> </u>	ш
I have a good		When I do	ĺ	The best	Ì	I usually	
imagination.		my		approach to		memorize	
1		homework	ĺ	the key to a	Ì	everything I	
		I usually		problem is		have to learn	
		finish a task		logical and		word for	
		completely		systematic		word.	
		before		thinking.			
		starting a					
		new one.					
I believe in		I usually		I generally		Teachers	
intuition.		work on		prefer to		seem to	
		one thing		work a		enjoy	
		after		problem in		making a	
		another.		parts,		simple truth	
				solving it		an	
				part by part.		unnecessary	
						complication	
Book ideas		I like to		I find it		I often	
often make		jump from		difficult to		memorize	
my mind		one task to		change my		material that	
deviate from		another.		mind when		I don't	
other topics				working on		understand.	
that do not				a problem; I			
necessarily				prefer to			
relate to				follow the			
what I am				same line of			
reading.				thought			
1			ĺ	until the	Ì	1	
			<u> </u>	end.		_	Щ
When trying		I feel	ĺ	I think it is	Ì	For the	
to		orderly and	ĺ	important to	Ì	exams I	
understand a		organized.	ĺ	look at the	Ì	memorize	
disconcertin			ĺ	problems	Ì	the subject	
g idea, I first			ĺ	rationally	Ì	more or less	
let my			ĺ	and	Ì	as I have	
imagination			ĺ	logically,	Ì	been given in	
fly freely			ĺ	without	Ì	class notes.	
even if I am not close to			ĺ	drawing intuitive	Ì		
the solution.			ĺ	conclusions	Ì		
the solution.			ĺ	CONCIUSIONS	Ì		
I like to		I always		I need to do		When I have	\vdash
wander with		make a	ĺ	things step	Ì	to learn	
my own		special	ĺ	by step, in	Ì	something, I	
ideas even if		effort to get	ĺ	an orderly	Ì	usually	
this doesn't		all the	ĺ	way.	Ì	repeat it	
take me		details.	ĺ		Ì	again and	
anywhere.		acans.	ĺ	I	Ì	again.	
I am able to		When I		When I		I see teachers	\vdash
think		start	ĺ	study I find	Ì	as a guide.	
without		something,	ĺ	it difficult	Ì	an a guide.	
words, better		I continue	ĺ	to	Ì		
with		with it until	ĺ	concentrate	Ì	1	
impressions		I finish it.	ĺ	on one	Ì		
than with			ĺ	thing at the	Ì		
thoughts.			ĺ	same time.	Ì		
In trying to		When I		omic unic.		I usually	
understand a		study a	ĺ	1	Ì	don't have	
new topic, I		subject I	ĺ	1	Ì	time to think	
often explain		don't like to	ĺ	I	Ì	about the	
it in such a		deviate to	ĺ	I	Ì	implications	
way that		secondary	ĺ	I	Ì	of what I	
others don't		aspects.	ĺ	I	Ì	read.	
seem to	l	r		I			
understand			ĺ	1	Ì		
unacionniu		1	1	1	1	Ì	i l
me.							!
me.							

Sometimes it seems to me that I think with images.		I learn more when teachers limit themselves to the facts
		and do not give their
		own ideas

References

Alava, E. E., & Martinez, M. E. M. (2019). Impact of teaching-learning process for brain. International journal of health sciences, 3(1), 33-40.

Beckman. (2013). *Modelos de estilos de aprendizaje: una*. Bogotá, Colombia. : Revista Colombiana.

Garcia. (2005). Estilos de aprendizaje. *Estilos de aprendizaje*, 2.

Guerra, M., & Villa, F. V. (2019). Exploration as a Dynamic Strategy of Research-Education for Creativity in Schools. In Dynamic Perspectives on Creativity (pp. 101-116). Springer, Cham.

Goñi. (2005). una vercion critica del concepto de creatividad . *ACTUALIDADES INVESTIGATIVAS EN EDUCACION*, 4.

Hernádez. (20 de noviembre de 2005). *Rrevicion de literatura: Estilos de aprendizaje*. Recuperado el 4 de Mayo de 2019, de https://www.researchgate.net/publication/32733 6234_Revision_de_literatura_estilos_de_apren dizaje

Hoz, A. c. (20 de Noviembre de 2005). *Revición de literatura: Estilos de aprendizaje*. Recuperado el 4 de mayo de 2019, de https://www.researchgate.net/publication/32733 6234_Revision_de_literatura_estilos_de_apren dizaje

Hoz, Z. c. (2015). Estilos de aprendizaje . Revición de estilos de aprendizaje, 3.

Luo, Y. J. (2019). The influence of problem-based learning on learning effectiveness in students' of varying learning abilities within physical education. Innovations in Education and Teaching International, 56(1), 3-13.

Márquez. (4 de Noviembre de 2005). *Rrevisión de literatura: estilos de aprendizaje*. Recuperado el 5 de Mayo de 2019, de https://www.researchgate.net/publication/32733 6234_Revision_de_literatura_estilos_de_apren dizaje

Monrreal. (20 de Junio de 2005). *UNA REVISIÓN CRÍTICA DEL CONCEPTO DE CREATIVIDAD*. Recuperado el 5 de Mayo de 2019, de file:///F:/Extra%20Innovaci%C3%B3n%20educ ativa/Vista%20de%20Una%20revisi%C3%B3n

ativa/Vista%20de%20Una%20revisi%C3%B3n %20cr%C3%ADtica%20del%20concepto%20d e%20creatividad.html

Montserrat Franquesa-Soler, Laura Barraza & Juan Carlos Serio-Silva (2019) Children's learning preferences for the development of conservation education programs in Mexican communities, The Journal of Educational Research, 112:1, 28-37, DOI: 10.1080/00220671.2018.1427038

Schmeck, c. p. (1996). Los estilos de aprendizaje y el rendimiento en Ciencias Sociales. *Anales de psicología*, 156-166.

Sgambi, L., Kubiak, L., Basso, N., & Garavaglia, E. (2019). Active learning for the promotion of students' creativity and critical thinking: An experience in structural courses for architecture. Archnet-IJAR: International Journal of Architectural Research.

Torres. (20 de Junio de 2005). *UNA REVISIÓN CRÍTICA DEL CONCEPTO DE CREATIVIDAD*. Recuperado el 4 de Mayo de 2019, de file:///F:/Extra%20Innovaci%C3%B3n%20educ ativa/Vista%20de%20Una%20revisi%C3%B3n%20educ 20cr%C3%ADtica%20del%20concepto%20de%20creatividad.html

Trigo. (2005). UNA REVISIÓN CRÍTICA DEL CONCEPTO DE CREATIVIDAD. ACTUALIDADES INVESTIGATIVAS EN EDUCACION. 4.