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#### Abstract

This research was carried out to know the socioeconomic and demographic conditions of the students from the LAM (Management BA) UAEM Texcoco, as well as to recognize their influence in their academic progress. A stratified sample was used and 146 students were selected among them 110 women and 36 men. A survey, to determine their economic and demographic situation was carried out, as well as their academic progress, according to their grade average for each student was evaluated, and finally the relation among such variables was determined. We found that there was no direct relation among the socioeconomic and demographic conditions and the academic progress of the students. Women outstood with a $64.39 \%$. It was also stated that $64.37 \%$ of the students family earns between 1 and 3 wages as total month income. The survey also mentions that $34 \%$ of surveyed students live in other municipalities of the Mexican State different from Texcoco and from these the $21.23 \%$ are from indigenous communities, and another $45.19 \%$ receive 300 or 500 pesos per week for their school expenses, and that $62.31 \%$ spend about 40 pesos daily in transportation. Distance and time to get to school are not relevant for the student academic progress.
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#### Abstract

Resumen

Esta investigación se realizó para conocer las condiciones socioeconómicas y demográficas de los estudiantes de la LAM (Licenciatura en Administración) UAEM Texcoco, así como reconocer su influencia en su progreso académico. Se utilizó un muestreo estratificado y se seleccionaron 146 estudiantes entre ellos 110 mujeres y 36 hombres. Se realizó una encuesta, para determinar su situación económica y demográfica, así como se evaluó su avance académico, de acuerdo a su promedio de calificaciones de cada alumno, y finalmente se determinó la relación entre dichas variables. Se encontró que no existe una relación directa entre las condiciones socioeconómicas y demográficas y el avance académico de los alumnos. Las mujeres destacaron con un $64,39 \%$. También se constató que el $64,37 \%$ de las familias de los estudiantes ganan entre 1 y 3 sueldos como ingreso total del mes. La encuesta también menciona que el $34 \%$ de los estudiantes encuestados viven en otros municipios del Estado de México diferentes a Texcoco y de estos el $21.23 \%$ son de comunidades indígenas, y otro $45.19 \%$ recibe 300 o 500 pesos semanales para sus gastos escolares, y que el $62.31 \%$ gasta alrededor de 40 pesos diarios en transporte. La distancia y el tiempo para llegar a la escuela no son relevantes para el progreso académico de los estudiantes.
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[^0][^1]
## Introduction

LThe acquisition of knowledge by individuals is directly related to the conditions or situations that the individual goes through, access to education is also involved. At present, the study of the socioeconomic and demographic conditions that intervene in academic achievement is of vital importance.

Access to education due to the socioeconomic conditions in the country still represents a challenge, the National Survey of Occupation and Employment (ENOE, 2007) indicates that there is still a significant number of children and adolescents between 5 and 17 years of age who do not attend school (about 1.7 million boys and 1.4 million girls). The United Nations International Children's Emergency Fund (UNICEF) estimated for 2013, that of the population aged 6 to 11 , national level, still not attending school between 1 and $2 \%$ for reasons of agricultural work or due to physical handicaps.

UNICEF in 2013, mentioned that the reality of strong disparities and social exclusión social exclusion in the country is reflected in unequal levels of primary education of coverage in primary education, with significant significant gaps at the preschool level, and fundamentally in and, fundamentally, in secondary and high school education, where a significant proportion of the por significant proportion of the poor or most vulnerable and many of those who manage to enter the system are unable to those who manage to enter are unable to complete their studies. In addition, there is inequality in the supply of services of the service provided in the different states in rural and in rural and urban areas, as well as in private schools urban areas, as well as in private schools, public schools and within the latter: general, indigenous, community schools, indigenous schools, community education and education for migrants.

Thes socioeconomic and demographic conditions globally condition access to the educational process, a condition that is not new, but has gained strength over the years.

The problem of disparity in access to education affects all of Mexico and it is logical to interpret that education between each state of the Mexican Republic occurs under different conditions, generating uneven results.

This problem affects the municipality of Texcoco, which is located in the state of Mexico, which is why Table 1 shows that the figures for education in 2011 varied according to the level of study, reflecting that at the preschool, primary and Secondary school retention rates were mostly favored being between $75.00 \%$ and $100.00 \%$ permanence, while for the high school and undergraduate level they ranged between $86.00 \%$ and $99.00 \%$ retention.

| Ubicación/Nivel | Deserción <br> escolar <br> (Alumnos) | Indice de <br> Retención <br> $(\%)$ |
| :--- | ---: | ---: |
| Total | -766.00 | 100.00 |
| Modalidad Escolarizada | $2,031.00$ | 97.52 |
| Preescolar | -192.00 | 101.97 |
| Preescolar CENDI | 0.00 | 0.00 |
| Preescolar General | -204.00 | 102.16 |
| Preescolar Indigena | 13.00 | 95.37 |
| Preescolar CONAFE | -1.00 | 125.00 |
| Primaria | 1.00 | 100.00 |
| Primaria General | -3.00 | 100.01 |
| Primaria Indigena | 2.00 | 98.98 |
| Primaria CONAFE | 2.00 | 75.00 |
| Secundaria | 359.00 | 97.65 |
| Secundaria General | 267.00 | 97.79 |
| Secundaria Técnica | 79.00 | 96.48 |
| Telesecundaria | 13.00 | 98.64 |
| Media Superior | $1,860.00$ | 87.53 |
| Profesional écnico | 80.00 | 92.09 |
| Bachillerato General | $1,413.00$ | 86.72 |
| Bachillerato Tecnológico | 367.00 | 88.76 |
| Educación Superior | 3.00 | 99.97 |
| Licenciatura en educación | 3.00 | 96.30 |
| Licenciatura técnica y universitaria | 0.00 | 100.00 |
| Posgrado universitario y técnico | 0.00 | 100.00 |

Table 1 Dropout and retention rate in the municipality of Texcoco, State of Mexico, 2011

Within the State of Mexico, in the municipality of Texcoco, the UAEM Texcoco University Center is located, in which the Bachelor of Administration degree is taught; where the socioeconomic and demographic conditions of the student population are uneven and therefore decisive for a good academic development, that is why the relationship between the socioeconomic and demographic conditions with the academic achievement of the students was studied.

## General Objective

Determine the socioeconomic and demographic conditions that intervene in the academic achievement of students in the 3rd, 5th and 7th years of the Administration degree at CU UAEM Texcoco.

## Specific objectives

- Know family spending among the student population.
- Infer the amount of money given to the and Bachelor of Business Administration students.
- Review the academic records of the students and establish the existing correlation with their income and their academic achievement.
- Establish the correlation between the distance of the home-school journey and academic achievement; the time it takes for students to get to CU UAEM Texcoco, the cost of the trip and its influence on academic achievement.


## Hypothesis

Thes socioeconomic and demographic conditions directly affect the academic achievement of the students of the Bachelor of Administration of the UAEM Texcoco University Center.

## Methodology

The present investigation was carried out at the UAEM Texcoco University Center dependent on the Autonomous University of the State of Mexico, taking as study population students in the 3 rd , 5 th and 7 th semesters of the Administration career 4 phases were carried out:

1. Documentary information: It consisted of searching for secondary information in books, magazines, the redalyc system, scielo, among other sources.
2. The method used was cross-sectional, according to Méndez (1993). The independent variables to be evaluated were: family income, daily spending, housing qualities, distance from home to school and vice versa, the time it takes the students to reach their destination and the cost of the trip that the students make daily.
3. The study methodology was based on the statistical information that was collected through a quantitative instrument. The source of statistical information for the study was the socioeconomic characterization survey of students in the 3rd, 5th and 7th semesters of Administration of the CU UAEM Texcoco of the Autonomous University of the State of Mexico.

The survey was conducted from a sample made up of selected students.

For the design of the statistical sample, random stratified sampling was used, which is applied when the population is divided into subpopulations with characteristics of interest, such as: gender, profession, and socioeconomic status, among others; For this, the equation was applied:
$\bar{x}_{a b}=(W a * \overline{X a})+(W b * \overline{X b})$
It is assumed that within each population there is homogeneity, although between subpopulations there is heterogeneity. It was determined under a reliability of $95.00 \%$ and a maximum permissible error of $10.00 \%$.

The universe of study is the students of the higher level and the study population includes students of both sexes, of 7th, 5th and 3rd semester of the Bachelor of Administration of the CU UAEM Texcoco. 4) For the investigation and collection of the data required for this study, a questionnaire was developed in order to know the socioeconomic and demographic stratum, and the records of the students selected for the study were requested saber andhe grado from toacademic achievement for each semester of higher level. With the information collected through the questionnaires applied to the students, the information was captured, processed and analyzed through andhe ptoquete andstatistical Statistical Package for the Social Sciences(SPSS) version 15.0, and toYes fromend up thecorrelationships between the association variables.

## General features

Lhe Bachelor of Administration has been taught since 1995 at the UAEM Texcoco University Center, for the year 2013 it has a total of 369 students studying.

And Figure 1 shows the distribution of the student population, representing $20.00 \%$ the 71 students of the 7 th semester, $22.00 \%$ the 78 students of the 5th semester, $31.00 \%$ the 116 students of the 3 rd semester and $27.00 \%$ the 104 students of 1 st semester. In addition to the above, Table 2 presents the general distribution of men and women in the study population (7th, 5th and 3rd semesters) of LAM, highlighting that the female sex represents $64.39 \%$ of the population, placing the male sex with $35.60 \%$ in 2013.

| Datos | Frecuencia | Porcentaje |
| :--- | ---: | ---: |
| Femenino | 170.00 | 64.39 |
| Masculino | 94.00 | 35.60 |
| Total | $\mathbf{2 6 4 . 0 0}$ | $\mathbf{1 0 0 . 0 0}$ |

Table 2 Distribution by gender within the degree program in Administration CU UAEM Texcoco, 2013

Based on the above, table 3 presents the total distribution by gender in each semester, showing that in the three semesters there is a constant majority of the female sex, it also shows that the total number of surveys applied to women were 110 and 36 applied to men.

| Genero | Semestre | Alumnos | Encuestas |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Femenino | 7 | 48 | 32 |
| Masculino | 7 | 23 | 8 |
| Femenino | 5 | 50 | 31 |
| Masculino | 5 | 28 | 12 |
| Femenino | 3 | 72 | 47 |
| Masculino | 3 | 43 | 16 |
| Total |  | $\mathbf{2 6 4}$ | $\mathbf{1 4 6}$ |

Table 3 Distribution by gender within the semesters of LAM and surveys conducted, 2013

Table 4 shows the respective percentage of the place of origin of the population studied, it was indicated that $41.07 \%$ of the students come from municipalities far from Texcoco and the remaining $58.90 \%$ come from the municipalities of Texcoco, Tepetlaoxtoc, Papalotla, Chiconcuac, Chicoloapan, Chiautla and Atenco.

| Lugar | Frecuencia | Porcentaje |
| :--- | ---: | ---: |
| Distrto Federal | 8.00 | 5.47 |
| Municipios: Texcoco, Tepetlaoxtoc, |  |  |
| Papalotla, Chiconcuac, Chicoloapan, | 86.00 | 58.90 |
| Chiautla, Atenco, |  |  |
| Otro Municipio del Edo Mex. | 50.00 | 34.24 |
| Otro Estado de la Republica | 2.00 | 1.36 |
| Total | $\mathbf{1 4 6 , 0 0}$ | $\mathbf{1 0 0 . 0 0}$ |

Table 4 Place of origin of LAM students, 2013

Table 4 shows the respective percentage of the place of origin of the population studied, indicating that $41.07 \%$ of the students come from municipalities far from Texcoco and $58.90 \%$.

The rest comes from the municipalities of Texcoco, Tepetlaoxtoc, Papalotla, Chiconcuac, Chicoloapan, Chiautla and Atenco.

Table 5 shows that the general average of the Bachelor of Administration is 8.60 , the averages per semester are $8.50,8.50$ and 8.70 for the 7 th, 5 th and 3 rd semesters respectively and the average of the individuals surveyed is: 8.50 the mode in 7th semester students, 8.60 the mode in 5th semester students and 8.80 the mode in 3rd semester students.

The 7th semester GPA result is due to the fact that $82.00 \%$ of the semester is due to the fact that $82.00 \%$ of the surveyed population surveyed had an average between 7.90 and 8.90 and 8.90 while $18.00 \%$ have an average between 9.00 and 9.30 . between 9.00 and 9.30.

In the case of the 5th semester, $62.00 \%$ of the surveyed population has an average between 7.90 and 8.90 . of the surveyed population has an average between 7.40 and 8.90 , which reflects that $38.00 \%$ of the remaining $38.00 \%$ of the remaining population has an average between 9.00 and 9.60.

In the case of the 3 rd semester, the result of the survey showed that $46.00 \%$ of the population has an average between 7.50 and 8.90 , while $54.00 \%$ of the population has an average between 9.00 and 9.70.

| Datos | Promedio <br> geseral | Promedio <br> por semestre | Promedio <br> general de <br> individuos <br> encuestados |
| :--- | :---: | ---: | ---: |
| Total de la Población | 8.60 |  | 8.50 |
| 7mo semestre |  | 8.50 | 8.50 |
| 5to semestre |  | 8.50 | 8.60 |
| 3er semestre |  | 8.70 | 8.80 |

Table 5 Overall average LAM, average by semester and average by individual semester and average by individual respondents, 2013

## Social aspects of the students of the Bachelor of Administration

Among the most important social aspects of the students of the career in Administration include:

[^2]- Type of dwelling in which they live
- Essential housing services such as: drainage, water and electricity
- Possession of household appliances such as: refrigerator, stove, boiler, television, landline telephone and internet.

The type of housing of LAM students is presented in Table 6 where it was found that $78.08 \%$ of the students live in their own home, $9.58 \%$ rent the place where they live and $12.34 \%$ of the population is paying for their house, living at a borrowed house or in another situation.

| Tipos | Frecuencia | Porcentaje |
| :--- | ---: | ---: |
| Propia | 114.00 | 78.08 |
| Rentada | 14.00 | 9.58 |
| Se esta pagando | 9.00 | 6.16 |
| Estă en otra situación | 2.00 | 1.36 |
| Prestada | 7.00 | 4.79 |
| Tetal | $\mathbf{1 4 6 . 0 0}$ | $\mathbf{1 0 0 . 0 0}$ |

Table 6 Type of housing of LAM students, 2013

Basic household services are presented in Table 7, where $100.00 \%$ of the population is located as beneficiaries of electricity and water services and $98.64 \%$ as beneficiaries of drainage services. From the above, it should be noted that most of the the services available in the homes of LAM students are similar, since most are connected to the public network.

| Servicio | Porcentaje de la <br> población | Frecuescia |
| :--- | ---: | ---: |
| Con medidor | 84.93 | 124.00 |
| Sin medidor | 15.06 | 22.00 |
| Total luz | 100.00 | $\mathbf{1 4 6 . 0 0}$ |
| Red pública, entubada dentro de la casa | 86.98 | 127.00 |
| Red pública, fuera de la vivienda, |  |  |
| dentro del terreno | 8.90 | 13.00 |
| Pipa | 0.68 | 1.00 |
| Pozo | 3.42 | 5.00 |
| Total agua | $\mathbf{1 0 0 . 0 0}$ | 146.00 |
| Conectado a la red publica | 90.41 | 132.00 |
| Conectado a fosa séptica | 6.84 | 10.00 |
| Conectado a barranca, rio, lago o |  |  |
| equivalente | 1.36 | 2.00 |
| No poseen drenaje | 1.36 | 2.00 |
| Total drenaje | $\mathbf{1 0 0 . 0 0}$ | $\mathbf{1 4 6 . 0 0}$ |

Table 7 Services: electricity, water and sewage in the homes of LAM students, 2013

For the development of daily activities at home, the presence of devices that facilitate and speed up activities is necessary, for this reason in table 8 the possession of electrical appliances by LAM students is represented, highlighting that $5.4 \%, 3.42 \%, 28.76 \%, 1.36 \%, 32.87 \%$, $34.93 \%$ of the population do not have a refrigerator, stove, boiler, television, fixed telephone and computer, respectively.

| Aparato | Porcentaje | Frecuencia |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Refrigerador |  |  |
| Si | 94.52 | 138.00 |
| No | 5.47 | 8.00 |
| Total refrigerador | 100.00 | 146.00 |
| Estufa |  |  |
| Si | 96.57 | 141.00 |
| No | 3.42 | 5.00 |
| Total estufa | 100.00 | 146.00 |
| Boiler |  |  |
| Si | 71.23 | 104.00 |
| No | 28.76 | 42.00 |
| Total boiler | 100.00 | 146.00 |
| Television |  |  |
| Si | 98.63 | 144.00 |
| No | 1.36 | 2.00 |
| Total televisión | 100.00 | 146.00 |
| Teléfono fijo |  |  |
| Si | 67.12 | 98.00 |
| No | 32.87 | 48.00 |
| Total tel. fijo | 100.00 | 146.00 |
| Computadora |  |  |
| Si | 65.06 | 95.00 |
| No | 34.93 | 51.00 |
| Total computadora | 100.00 | 146.00 |

Table 8 Ownership of household appliances students of LAM of LAM, 2013

## Economic aspects of LAM students

Among the most outstanding economic aspects of the students of the Administration career, the following were investigated:

- Family income level
- Contribution and occupation of the partner of the head of the family to the family income
- Someone else's contribution to family income
- Monthly expense for rent or house payment (as the case may be)
- Spending monthlytohe andn tofood and/ or pantry
- Monthly expense in fuel and/or transportation
- Monthly expense in tuition and supplies
- Monthly spending on recreation
- Ohfinancial aid from the government
- Weekly amount received by the student for school aspects
- Daily expenditure of the Home-School trajectory and viceversa
- Scholarships (number of scholarship students and type of scholarships)
- Employment situation of the student, contribution of this to the family expense or payment of tuition.

As a first point, the income range of the heads of families of the students surveyed was addressed, this can be seen in table 9 , which indicated that $64.37 \%$ of the population receives between 1 and 3 minimum wages as total monthly family income., followed by $17.11 \%$ of the population that receives between 4 and 5 minimum wages and showing that only $18.40 \%$ exceed 6 monthly minimum wages.

| Ingreso | Porcentaje | Frecuencia |
| :--- | ---: | ---: |
| Hasta $\$ 1,942.00$ | 5.47 | 8.00 |
| De $\$ 1,943.00$ a $\$ 3,885.00$ | 32.19 | 47.00 |
| De $3.886,00$ a $\$ \$, 828.00$ | 26.71 | 39.00 |
| De $\$ 5,829,00$ a $\$ 7,770.00$ | 12.32 | 18.00 |
| De $\$ 7,771.00$ a $\$ 9,713.00$ | 4.79 | 7.00 |
| De $\$ 9,714,00$ a $\$ 11,656.00$ | 9.58 | 14.00 |
| De $\$ 11,657.00$ a $\$ 13,598.00$ | 3.42 | 5.00 |
| De $\$ 13,599.00$ a $\$ 15,541.00$ | 4.10 | 6.00 |
| Mas de $\$ 15,542.00$ | 1.36 | 2.00 |
| Total | $\mathbf{1 0 0 . 0 0}$ | $\mathbf{1 4 6 . 0 0}$ |

Table 9 Total monthly family income of LAM students, 2013

Regarding the partner of the head of the family in table 10, it was observed that $56.84 \%$ do not contribute to the monthly family income and the main occupation is "dedication to the home", it was also highlighted that $14.38 \%$ of the partners of the heads of family contribute with 1 minimum wage to the monthly family income, having in second place the occupation of trader.

Lastly, $11.64 \%$ contribute 1 or 2 minimum wages to the monthly family income, with the occupation of teacher occupying third place.

| Concepto | Porcentaje | Frecuencia |
| :--- | ---: | ---: |
| Ingreso mensual de la pareja jefe de familia |  |  |
| No tiene ingresos | 56.84 | 83.00 |
| Hasta $\$ 1,942.00$ | 14.38 | 21.00 |
| De $\$ 1,943.00$ a $\$ 3,885,00$ | 11.64 | 17.00 |
| De $\$ 3,886.00$ a $\$ 5,828.00$ | 6.84 | 10.00 |
| De $\$ 5,829.00$ a $\$ 7,770.00$ | 2.05 | 3.00 |
| De $\$ 7,771,00$ a $\$ 9,713,00$ | 2.73 | 4.00 |
| De $\$ 9,714,00$ a $\$ 11,656.00$ | 2.73 | 4.00 |
| De $\$ 11,657.00$ a $\$ 13,598.00$ | 2.05 | 3.00 |
| De $\$ 13,599.00$ a $\$ 15,541.00$ | 0.68 | 1.00 |
| Más de $\$ 15,542.00$ | 0.00 | 0.00 |
| Total | $\mathbf{1 0 0 . 0 0}$ | $\mathbf{1 4 6 . 0 0}$ |
| Ocupacion de la pareja del jefe de familia |  |  |
| Profesor | 6.16 | 9.00 |
| Comerciante, vendedor | 17.80 | 26.00 |
| Oficinista | 5.01 | 6.00 |
| Artesano u obrero | 4.13 | 7.00 |
| Trabajador (a) domestica | 5.47 | 8.00 |
| Trabajador agropecuario | 0.68 | 1.00 |
| Pensionado, jubilado | 4.11 | 6.00 |
| No trabaja, se dedica a hogar | 56.84 | 83.00 |
| Total | $\mathbf{1 0 0 . 0 0}$ | $\mathbf{1 4 6 . 0 0}$ |

Table 10 Contribution to family income and occupation of the head of household's partner partner of the head of household of LAM students, 2013

Within the family context in the box 11 it was highlighted that $9.58 \%$ of the population answered affirmatively to the existence of an extra income to the monthly family expense and it is pointed out that the highest percentage that is perceived as extra is that of 1 minimum wage. It also shows that $90.41 \%$ of the population indicated that no one else contributes to the family's monthly income.

| Contribución | Porcentaje | Frecuencia |
| :--- | ---: | ---: |
| Si | 9.58 | 14.00 |
| No | 90.41 | 132.00 |
| Total | $\mathbf{1 0 0 . 0 0}$ | $\mathbf{1 4 6 . 0 0}$ |
| Monto |  |  |
| Nadie mas contribuye | 89.72 | 131.00 |
| Hasta $\$ 1,942.00$ | 5.47 | 8.00 |
| De $\$ 1,943.00$ a $\$ 3,885,00$ | 2.73 | 4.00 |
| De $\$ 3,886.00$ a $\$ 5,828,00$ | 1.36 | 2.00 |
| De $\$ 5,829.00$ a $\$ 7.770 .00$ | 0.68 | 1.00 |
| Total | $\mathbf{1 0 0 . 0 0}$ | $\mathbf{1 4 6 . 0 0}$ |

Table 11 Extra contribution to total monthly family income family income of LAM students, 2013

Its Monthly family expenses for rent or house payment, food, school fees, transportation and recreation are represented in Table 12, combining the most relevant data, for example, $17.10 \%$ of the population spends between 1 and 3 minimum wages on rent or payment of house, now the expenditure on food monthly is from 1 to 2 minimum wages according to $64.37 \%$ of the population, in the same way $86.29 \%$ of the families of the respondents spend from 1 to 2 minimum wages on school fees and supplies , now in the case of fuel and transportation, $91.77 \%$ of the population spends 1 to 2 minimum wages on it and finally the survey showed that $41.78 \%$ of the families of the students spend 1 minimum wage on recreation monthly.

| Gastos | Porcentaje | Frecuencia |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Gasto en renta o pago de casa |  |  |
| Hasta \$ 1,942.00 | 6.16 | 9.00 |
| De \$ 1,943.00 a \$ 3,885.00 | 9.58 | 14.00 |
| De \$ 3,886.00 a $\$ 5,828.00$ | 1.36 | 2.00 |
| No pagan renta | 82.87 | 121.00 |
| Total | 100.00 | 146.00 |
| Gasto en alimentos |  |  |
| Hasta \$ 1,942.00 | 38.35 | 56.00 |
| De \$ 1,943.00 a \$ 3,885.00 | 26.02 | 38.00 |
| De \$ $3,886.00$ a $\$ 5,828.00$ | 21.91 | 32.00 |
| De $\$ 5,829.00$ a $\$ 7,770.00$ | 4.79 | 7.00 |
| De \$ 7,771.00 a \$ 9,713.00 | 6.16 | 9.00 |
| De \$ 9,714.00 a \$ $11,656.00$ | 1.36 | 2.00 |
| De \$ $11,657.00$ a $\$ 13,598.00$ | 1.36 | 2.00 |
|  | 100.00 | 146.00 |
| Gastos en útiles y colegiaturas |  |  |
| Hasta \$ 1,942.00 | 65.75 | 96.00 |
| De \$ 1,943.00 a \$ 3,885.00 | 20.54 | 30.00 |
| De $\$ 3,886,00$ a $\$ 5,828.00$ | 4.10 | 6.00 |
| De \$ $5,829.00$ a $\$ 7,770.00$ | 4.10 | 6.00 |
| De \$ 7,771.00 a \$ 9,713,00 | 2.73 | 4.00 |
| De \$ $9,714.00$ a $\$ 11,656.00$ | 1.36 | 2.00 |
| De \$ 11,657,00 a \$ 13,598.00 | 1.36 | 2.00 |
| Total | 100.00 | 146.00 |
| Gastos en combustible y transporte |  |  |
| Hasta \$ 1,942.00 | 71.91 | 105.00 |
| De \$ 1,943.00 a \$ 3,885.00 | 19.86 | 29.00 |
| De \$ $3,886,00$ a $\$ 5,828.00$ | 5.47 | 8,00 |
| De $\$ 5,829.00$ a $\$ 7,770.00$ | 1.36 | 2.00 |
| De \$ 7,771.00 a \$ 9,713,00 | 0.68 | 1.00 |
| De $\$ 9,714.00$ a $\$ 11,656.00$ | 0.68 | 1.00 |
|  | 100.00 | 146.00 |
| Gastos en recreación (cine, comidas, museos, etc.) |  |  |
| \$0 | 47.94 | 70.00 |
| Hasta \$ 1,942.00 | 41.78 | 61,00 |
| De \$ 1,943.00 a \$ 3,885.00 | 5.47 | 8.00 |
| De \$ $3,886.00$ a $\$ 5,828.00$ | 2.05 | 3.00 |
| De \$ $5,829.00$ a $\$ 7,770.00$ | 0.68 | 1.00 |
| De \$ 7,771.00 a \$ 9,713.00 | 1.36 | 2.00 |
| De \$9,714.00 a \$ $11,656.00$ | 0.68 | 1.00 |
| Total | 100.00 | 146.00 |

Table 12 Monthly expenses made by LAM students in: rent or house payment, food, school fees, transportation and recreation, 2013

Regarding economic aid from the Government, table 13 shows that only $8.21 \%$ of the students surveyed answered stating that they receive government aid, while $91.78 \%$ of the remaining students denied being financially supported by the government.

| Reciben ayuda por parte <br> del gobierno | Porcentaje | Frecuencia |
| :--- | ---: | ---: |
| Si | 8.21 | 12.00 |
| No | 91.78 | 134.00 |
| Total | 100.00 | 146.00 |

Table 13 Financial aid to LAM students from the government, 2013 by the government, 2013

Table 14 shows the level of income received by students per week for school activities school activities, showing that $40.40 \%$ of the of the population is given a weekly amount of between $\$ 100$ and $\$ 200$ for school between $\$ 100$ and $\$ 200$ per week for school expenses including transportation, following this range are the students who receive between $\$ 300$ and $\$ 500$, representing $45.19 \%$ of the population from $\$ 300$ to $\$ 500$, representing $45.19 \%$ of the population.

In addition, $62.31 \%$ of the students spend an average of $\$ 1$ to students spend an average of $\$ 1$ to $\$ 40$ on their home-school and vice-versa daily, placing $36.97 \%$ of the population in a range of daily population in a daily spending range of between $\$ 41$ and $\$ 149$, showing that between $\$ 41$ and $\$ 149$, showing that only $0.68 \%$ of the population spends more than $\$ 150$ of the population exceeds $\$ 150$ in daily spending.

| Cantidad | Porcentaje | Frecuencia |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Monto semanal |  |  |
| \$100 | 8.90 | 13.00 |
| \$200 | 31.50 | 46.00 |
| \$300 | 28.76 | 42.00 |
| \$500 | 16.43 | 24.00 |
| Otra Cantidad | 14.38 | 21.00 |
| Total | 100.00 | 146.00 |
| Gasto diario |  |  |
| Menos de \$20 | 10.95 | 16.00 |
| \$21-\$40 | 51.36 | 75.00 |
| \$41-\$70 | 32.87 | 48.00 |
| \$71-5100 | 3.42 | 5.00 |
| \$101-\$149 | 0.68 | 1.00 |
| Más de \$150 | 0.68 | 1.00 |
| Total | 100.00 | 146.00 |

Table 14 Weekly amount and daily expenditure of LAM students of LAM, 2013

Within the UAEM there are scholarships that are granted to enrolled students according to their situation, average and needs, as well as talks that inform the student of the different scholarships that are granted by the government, in table 15 it is presented the index of possession of scholarships and the most outstanding type of them, showing that $26.02 \%$ of the surveyed population does not have any type of scholarship, leaving $73.97 \%$ of the remaining population as scholarship holders, of this percentage the type of The most significant scholarship that students have is the institutional scholarship granted by the UAEM in its different modalities (economic, schooling, transportation, single mothers, etc.) representing $43.83 \%$, in second place is the PRONABES government scholarship representing The $26.02 \%$ and in last place the scholarships granted by the Federal government represented $4.10 \%$.

| Becas | Porcentaje | Frecuencia |
| :--- | ---: | ---: |
| Alumnos becados | 73.97 | 108.00 |
| Alumnos no becados | 26.02 | 38.00 |
| Total | $\mathbf{1 0 0 . 0 0}$ | $\mathbf{1 4 6 . 0 0}$ |
| Tipo de becas |  |  |
| Institucional | 43.83 | 64.00 |
| Gobiemo (PRONABES) | 26.02 | 38.00 |
| Gobiemo (Federal) | 4.10 | 6.00 |
| No tengo ninguna | 26.02 | 38.00 |
| Total | $\mathbf{1 0 0 . 0 0}$ | $\mathbf{1 4 6 . 0 0}$ |

Table 15 Scholarships in LAM students (number and type), 2013

Table 16 shows the employment situation of the students, placing $25.34 \%$ within the employment and student profile, leaving $74.65 \%$ of the surveyed population as individuals who dedicate themselves to study and therefore do not obtain their own income to pay their tuition. , on the other hand, $14.38 \%$ of working students contribute to family spending, while the remaining $10.95 \%$ of working students pay tuition from their salary.

| Trabaja | Porcentaje | Frecuencia |
| :--- | ---: | ---: |
| Si | 25.34 | 37.00 |
| No | 74.65 | 109.00 |
| Total | $\mathbf{1 0 0 . 0 0}$ | $\mathbf{1 4 6 . 0 0}$ |
|  |  |  |
| Contribución al gasto familiar |  |  |
| Si, contribuye al gasto fimiliar | 14.38 | 21,00 |
| No, gasta el salario en sus necesidades | 10.95 | 16.00 |
| No percibe ingresos | 74.65 | 109.00 |
| Total | $\mathbf{1 0 0 . 0 0}$ | $\mathbf{1 4 6 . 0 0}$ |
| Page de colegiatura |  |  |
| Si paga su colegiatura | 15.75 | 23.00 |
| No paga su colegiatura | 9.58 | 14.00 |
| No paga porque no tiene ingresos | 74.65 | 109.00 |
| Total | $\mathbf{1 0 0 . 0 0}$ | $\mathbf{1 4 6 . 0 0}$ |

Table 16 Employment status of LAM students, 2013

## Demographics of LAM students

With respect to the demographics of the population of the Bachelor of Administration, the most relevant aspects to be discussed are:

## - Origin

- Indigenous communities
- Travel time Home-School
- Kilometers traveled
- Car accidents on the home-school homeschool commute
- Assaults and/or insecurity on the homeschool route and viceversa home-school and vice versa
- Transportation used to go to school school

Regarding the origin of the surveyed population, Figure 2 shows that $59.00 \%$ of the students come from the municipalities near the Autonomous University of the State of Mexico, followed by $34.00 \%$ who come from another municipality in the State of Mexico, leaving $6.00 \%$ as coming from the Federal District and finally $1.00 \%$ representing students who come from other states of the republic. Regarding the origin of students from indigenous communities, table 17 indicates that $21.23 \%$ of them answered affirmatively, indicating that they are members of an indigenous community.

This places $78.76 \%$ of the remaining population that answered negatively outside the population that answered in the negative outside indigenous communities.

| Alumno procedente <br> de comunidad <br> indigena | Porcentaje | Frecuencia |
| :--- | ---: | ---: |
| Si | 21.23 | 31.00 |
| No | 78.76 | 115.00 |
| Total | $\mathbf{1 0 0 . 0 0}$ | $\mathbf{1 4 6 . 0 0}$ |

Table 17 LAM students from indigenous communities, 2013

Table 18 measured the journey that the students made in time and kilometers to go to school. The above indicated that $55.47 \%$ of the students take between 30 minutes and 1 hour to get to school and $21.91 \%$ take up to 2 hours to get there, in addition to the above, it was noted that $69.17 \%$ of the population travels from 5 to 19 kilometers from his home to CU UAEM Texcoco. It was also mentioned that $30.82 \%$ of the remaining population travels more than 20 kilometers, taking between 1:30 and 2:00 hours.

| Tiempo (Horas) | Porcentaje | Frecuencia |
| :--- | ---: | ---: |
| Menos de 0.5 | 22.60 | 33.00 |
| $0.5-1$ | 55.47 | 81.00 |
| $1.5-2$ | 21.91 | 32.00 |
| Total | $\mathbf{1 0 0 . 0 0}$ | $\mathbf{1 4 6 . 0 0}$ |
| Kilómetros |  |  |
| 50 menos | 26.71 | 39.00 |
| De 10 a 19 | 42.46 | 62.00 |
| Más de 20 | 30.82 | 45.00 |
| Total | $\mathbf{1 0 0 . 0 0}$ | $\mathbf{1 4 6 . 0 0}$ |

Table 18 Time and kilometers traveled in the commute LAM students' home-school, 2013

In addition to the daily commute of the students, situations such as accidents, assaults or insecurity occur within the students' journey, which is why Table 19 shows that $81.43 \%$ of the students have encountered or witnessed a car accident on their journey. from home to school and that $42.44 \%$ of the students surveyed have been victims of an assault and/or insecurity during the same journey.
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| Situación | Porcentaje | Frecuencia |
| :--- | ---: | ---: |
| Accidentes |  |  |
| Todos los dias | 1.36 | 2.00 |
| 1 vez a la semana | 26.02 | 38.00 |
| 3 veces al mes | 28.08 | 41.00 |
| 2 veces al año | 27.39 | 40.00 |
| Nunca | 17.12 | 25.00 |
| Total | $\mathbf{1 0 0 . 0 0}$ | $\mathbf{1 4 6 . 0 0}$ |
| Asalto y/o inseguridad |  |  |
| 2 veces | 33.56 | 49.00 |
| 4 veces | 5.47 | 8.00 |
| 6 veces | 2.73 | 4.00 |
| Más de 10 veces | 0.68 | 1.00 |
| Nunca | 57.53 | 84.00 |
| Total | $\mathbf{1 0 0 . 0 0}$ | $\mathbf{1 4 6 . 0 0}$ |

Table 19 Accidents, assaults and/or insecurity during the home-to-school Home-School commute of LAM students, 2013

As a last point, the type of transport by which the students go to the university center was investigated, considering public transport, their own car, motorcycle and on foot as possible options, indicating in table 20 that $94.52 \%$ of the population travels from their home to school by public transport, leaving in second place $3.42 \%$ of the students who travel by their own car, followed by $1.36 \%$ of the students who travel on foot to the CU UAEM Texcoco, placing $0.68 \%$ of the remaining population as motorcyclists.

| Medio | Porcentaje | Frecuencia |
| :--- | ---: | ---: |
| Transporte publico | 94.52 | 138.00 |
| Carro propio | 3.42 | 5.00 |
| Moto | 0.68 | 1.00 |
| Caminando | 1.36 | 2.00 |
| Total | $\mathbf{1 0 0 . 0 0}$ | $\mathbf{1 4 6 . 0 0}$ |

Table 20 Means of transportation of LAM students, 2013

## Mcorrelation matrix

For the present study, the following correlations were taken into account:

- Correrelationship between income and average thetoLAM students from CU Texcoco
- Correlation of the distance of the homeschool journey and vice versa, the time it takes for students to get to school, and the cost of the daily home-school trip of the LAM students of the CU UAEM Texcoco.

Table 21 shows that there is a negative correlation of -0.16 between income and the average and that this correlation has a significance of $4.00 \%$, which indicated that while income increases a range in salary, the average decreases 0.16 tenths.

| Dato | Correlación | Ingreso | Promedio |
| :--- | :--- | ---: | ---: |
|  | Correlación de |  |  |
| Ingreso | Pearson | 1.00 | -0.16 |
|  | Sig. (bilateral) | 0.04 |  |
|  | N | 146.00 | 146.00 |
|  | Correlación de |  |  |
| Promedio | Pearson | -0.16 | 1.00 |
|  | Sig. (bilateral) | 0.04 |  |
|  | N | $\mathbf{1 4 6 . 0 0}$ | $\mathbf{1 4 6 . 0 0}$ |

Table 21 Correlation of the income and average of the LAM students, 2013

Table 22 shows that the correlation between the distance of the home-school route and the student's average is negative and they are not directly related since it has a significance of $6.90 \%$ and therefore it is not decisive for a good academic achievement.

| Dato | Correlación | Promedio | Distancia (km) |
| :--- | :--- | ---: | ---: |
|  | Correlación de |  |  |
| Promedio | Pearson | 1.00 | -0.03 |
|  | Sig. (bilateral) |  | 0.69 |
|  | N | 146.00 | 146.00 |
|  | Correlación de |  |  |
| Distancia (km) | Pearson | -0.03 | 1.00 |
|  | Sig (bilateral) | 0.69 |  |
|  | $\mathbf{N}$ | $\mathbf{1 4 6 . 0 0}$ | $\mathbf{1 4 6 . 0 0}$ |

Table 22 Correlation between the distance of the homeschool and the average number of LAM students, 2013

Table 23 indicates that the correlation between the average and the cost of the homeschool journey of the LAM students of the CU UAEM Texcoco is not decisive for good academic achievement since it represents $9.80 \%$ significance.

| Dato | Correlaciôn | Promedio | Costo del trayecto hogar-escuela |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Promedio | Correlación de |  |  |
|  | Pearson | 1.00 | 0.00 |
|  | Sig. (bilateral) |  | 0.98 |
|  | N | 146.90 | 146.00 |
| Costo del trayecto hogarescuela |  |  |  |
|  | Correlación de |  |  |
|  | Pearson | 0.00 | 1,00 |
|  | Sig. (bilateral) | 0.98 |  |
|  | N | 146.00 | 146.00 |

Table 23 Correlation between the average and the cost of the home-to-school home-school journey of LAM students, 2013

Table 24 shows that the correlation between the time it takes for students to get to school and the average is not decisive for good academic achievement, since it has a significance of $6.60 \%$.

[^4]| Dato | Correlación | Promedio | Tiempo que <br> tarda el <br> alumno en <br> Ilegar a la <br> escuela |
| :--- | :--- | ---: | ---: |
| Promedio | Correlación de <br> Pearson |  |  |
|  | Sig. (bilateral) | 1.00 | 0.03 |
| Tiempo que <br> tarda el <br> alumno en <br> llegar a la <br> escuela | N | Correlación de | 146.00 |

Table 24 Correlation between the time it takes a student to get to school and his or her GPA, 2013.

## Conclusions

The following is concluded from this investigation:

1. Thes socioeconomic and demographic conditions do not determine the academic achievement of the students of the degree in Administration of the CU UAEM Texcoco.
2. Among the surveyed population there is a similar family expenditure, represented by $64.37 \%$ of the population that receives between 1 and 3 monthly minimum wages.
3. That $45.19 \%$ of the students received a weekly amount for school expenses of $\$ 300$ to $\$ 500$, followed by $40.40 \%$ allocated between $\$ 100$ and $\$ 200$ and the remaining $14.38 \%$ allocated an amount greater than $\$ 500$, in addition to this it was discovered that $73.97 \%$ of the student population receives some type of scholarship to support educational expenses.
4. The correlation between the variables of higher income and academic achievement are negative and have a significance of $4.00 \%$, therefore, the higher the income, the average decreases.
5. The correlation between the variables of distance, time, cost and academic achievement was not decisive for a good academic result since $6.90 \%, 6.60 \%$ and $9.80 \%$ of significance were obtained, respectively.
6. Therefore, the hypothesis that academic achievement is the product of csocioeconomic and demographic conditions of LAM students from CU UAEM Texcoco is rejected.
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